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Pumping Lemma: Intuition (1)
LCFRS have a context-free backbone: the productions constitute a generalized context-free grammar. A derivation step consists of replacing a lhs of a production with its rhs.

Example (LCFRS for the copy language):

| $S \rightarrow f_{1}[A] \quad A \rightarrow f_{2}[A]$ | $A \rightarrow f_{3}[A] \quad A \rightarrow f_{4}[]$ | $A \rightarrow f_{5}[]$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $f_{1}[\langle X, Y, Z\rangle]=\langle X Y Z\rangle$ | $f_{4}[]=\langle a, a, a\rangle$ |  |
| $f_{2}[\langle X, Y, Z\rangle]=\langle a X, a Y, a Z\rangle$ | $f_{5}[]=\langle b, b, b\rangle$ |  |
| $f_{3}[\langle X, Y, Z\rangle]=\langle b X, b Y, b Z\rangle$ |  |  |

Derivation in underlying generalized CFG:
$S \Rightarrow f_{1}(A) \Rightarrow f_{1}\left(f_{3}(A)\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}\left(f_{3}\left(f_{2}(A)\right)\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}\left(f_{3}\left(f_{2}\left(f_{4}()\right)\right)\right)$
The term $f_{1}\left(f_{3}\left(f_{2}\left(f_{4}()\right)\right)\right)$ denotes $\langle$ baabaa $\rangle$.
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## Pumping Lemma: Intuition (2)

- In such a derivation, the expansion of a non-terminal $A$ does not depend on the context $A$ occurs in.
- Consequently, as in the case of CFG, if we have a derivation

$$
A \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} f_{1}\left(\ldots f_{2}\left(\ldots f_{k}(\ldots A \ldots) \ldots\right) \ldots\right)
$$

then this part of the derivation can be iterated, i.e., we can also have
$A \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} f_{1}\left(\ldots f_{2}\left(\ldots f_{k}\left(\ldots f_{1}\left(\ldots f_{2}\left(\ldots f_{k}(\ldots A \ldots) \ldots\right) \ldots\right) \ldots\right) \ldots\right) \ldots\right)$ etc.

## Pumping Lemma (1)

Question: What does this mean for the string language?
Assume that we have such an iteration, i.e., in the derivation tree, we have

with no other derivation $B \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} B$ in the subtree corresponding to $A \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} A$. The part between the two $A$ nodes can be iterated.

## Pumping Lemma (2)

- Let $m$ be the fan-out (the arity) of $A$. Then the higher $A$ spans an $m$-tuple of strings and the lower $A$ spans a (smaller) $m$-tuple of strings that is part of the $m$-tuple of the higher $A$ Assume that $\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\rangle$ is the span of the lower $A$.
- There are different cases for how the components of the lower $A$ are part of the span of the higher $A$. Either $w_{i}$ is part of the $i$ th component $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ or there are components of the higher $A$ that do not contain parts of the span of the lower $A$.

Pumping Lemma (3)
Case 1: $w_{i}$ is part of the $i$ th component of the higher $A$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Then the span of the higher $A$ has the form $\left\langle v_{1} w_{1} u_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} w_{m} u_{m}\right\rangle$.
Consequently (iteration), $\left\langle v_{1}^{k} w_{1} u_{1}^{k}, \ldots, v_{m}^{k} w_{m} u_{m}^{k}\right\rangle$ is also in the yield of $A$.

Example:
$S(X Y) \rightarrow A(X, Y), A(a X b, c Y d) \rightarrow A(X, Y), A(a b, c d) \rightarrow \varepsilon$


Iteration:
$a^{n} a b b^{n} c^{n} c d d^{n}$

The iterated parts are present in the original string (in the tree with just two $A$ s on the path).

## Pumping Lemma (4)

Case 2: The $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ are part of only $j$ components $(j<m)$ of the span of the higher $A$. Then, when iterating, the components of the higher $A$ go again into only $j$ components, i.e., the $m-j$ components that do not contain any of the $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ must be added to the other ones.

Consequently, in a component of the higher $A$, we either have the form $v_{1} w_{i} v_{2} w_{i+1} \ldots v_{k-1} w_{i+k} v_{k}$ or a form $u$ (without components from the lower $A$ ).

In the next iteration, the $u$ will be added to one of the other components. This can be repeated and will lead to iterations of strings that are concatenations of some of the $u$ and some of the $v_{i}$. These iterated strings are not necessarily present in the span of the higher $A$, before iteration.
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## Pumping Lemma (5)

Example:
$S(X Y Z U) \rightarrow A(X Y Z, U), A(X b Y, c) \rightarrow A(X, Y), A(d, d) \rightarrow \varepsilon$


Iteration pattern: $d b d(b c)^{n} c$
Here, the iterated parts are not present in the original string (in the tree with just two $A \mathrm{~s}$ on the path).
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## Pumping Lemma (6)

Along these lines, [Seki et al., 1991] show the following pumping lemma for $k$-MCFLs, the class of languages generated by $k$-MCFGs:
Proposition 1 (Pumping Lemma for $k$-MCFLs) For any
$k$-MCFL $L$, if $L$ is an infinite set then there exist some $u_{j} \in T$
$(1 \leq j \leq k+1), v_{j}, w_{j}, s_{j} \in T^{*}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ which satisfy the
following conditions:

1. $\Sigma_{j=1}^{k}\left|v_{j} s_{j}\right|>0$, and
2. for any $i \geq 0$,

$$
z_{i}=u_{1} v_{1}^{i} w_{1} s_{1}^{i} u_{2} v_{2}^{i} w_{2} s_{2}^{i} \ldots u_{k} v_{k}^{i} w_{k} s_{k}^{i} u_{k+1} \in L
$$

## Pumping Lemma (7)

- Note that the pumping lemma is only existential in the sense that it does not say that within each string that is long enough we find pumpable substrings.
- It only says that there exist strings in the language that are of a limited length and that contain pumpable substrings.
- In contrast to this, the CFG pumping lemma is universal: within every string of sufficient length we find two pumpable substrings of a limited distance.


## Pumping Lemma: Applications (1)

Proposition 2 For every $k \geq 1$, the language
$\left\{a_{1}^{n} a_{2}^{n} \ldots a_{2 k+1}^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ is not a $k$-MCFL.

Proof: Assume that it is a $k$-MCFL. Then it satisfies the pumping lemma with $2 k$ pumpable strings. At least one of these strings is not empty and none of them can contain different terminals.
However, if at most $2 k$ strings are pumped, we necessarily obtain strings that are not in the language. Contradiction.

## Pumping Lemma: Applications (2)

For every $k \geq 1$, the language $\left\{a_{1}^{n} a_{2}^{n} \ldots a_{2 k+1}^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ is a
$(k+1)$-MCFL.
It is generated by an MCFG/LCFRS with the following rules:
$S\left(X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{k} X_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$
$A\left(a_{1} X_{1} a_{2}, a_{3} X_{2} a_{4}, \ldots, a_{2 k-1} X_{k} a_{2 k}, a_{2 k+1} X_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$
$A(\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon$

Proposition $3 k-M C F L$ is a proper subset of $(k+1)$-MCFL.
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## Closure Properties

[Seki et al., 1991] show the following closure properties for
$k$-MCFL:
Proposition 4 For every $k \geq 1$, the class $k$-MCFL

- is closed under substitution;
- is closed under union, concatenation, Kleene closure, $\varepsilon$-free Kleene closure;
- is closed under intersection with regular languages.


## Closure Properties: Substitution

$k$-MCFL being closed under substitution means:
If $L$ is a $k$-MCFL over the terminal alphabet $T$ and $f$ assigns a $k$-MCFL to every $t \in T$, then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(L)=\left\{w_{1} \ldots w_{n} \mid \text { there is a } t_{1} \ldots t_{n} \in L\right. \text { with } \\
\left.\qquad w_{i} \in f\left(t_{i}\right) \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
\end{array}
$$

is also a $k$-MCFL.

Idea of the construction of the new $k$-MCFG from the original one and the ones for the images of the terminals: take the original and replace every terminal $a$ in a lhs with a new variable $X_{a}$ and add $S_{a}\left(X_{a}\right)$ to the rhs where $S_{a}$ the start symbol of the grammar of the image of $a$.
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## Closure Properties: Union and Concatenation

Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be languages generated by the $k$-MCFGs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ with start symbols $S_{1}, S_{2}$ respectively (and without loss of generality disjoint sets of non-terminals).

- The union, $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is generated by the grammar with the rules from $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and additional rules $S(X) \rightarrow S_{1}(X)$,
$S(X) \rightarrow S_{2}(X)$ where $S$ is a new start symbol.
- The concatenation $\left\{w_{1} w_{2} \mid w_{1} \in L_{1}, w_{2} \in L_{2}\right\}$ is generated by the grammar with the rules from $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and an additional rule $S(X Y) \rightarrow S_{1}(X) S_{2}(Y)$ where $S$ is a new start symbol.


## Closure Properties: Kleene star

Let $L$ be a language generated by the $k$-MCFGs $G$.

- If we add the rules $S^{\prime}(X Y) \rightarrow S(X) S^{\prime}(Y)$ and $S^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon$ where $S^{\prime}$ is a new start symbol, we generate the Kleene closure $L^{*}$ of L.
- If we add the rules $S^{\prime}(X Y) \rightarrow S(X) S^{\prime}(Y)$ and $S^{\prime}(X) \rightarrow S(X)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is a new start symbol, we generate the $\varepsilon$-free Kleene closure $L^{+}$of $L$.


## Closure Properties: Intersection with regular lang. (1)

Construction idea: enrich the non-terminals $A$ with lists of states $q_{1}, q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{\operatorname{dim}(A)}, q_{\operatorname{dim}(A)}^{\prime}$ where the path from $q_{i}$ to $q_{i}^{\prime}$ is the path traversed while processing the $i$ th component of $A$.

Example:
Take the copy language, generated by an MCFG with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(X Y) \rightarrow A(X, Y) \\
& A(a X, a Y) \rightarrow A(X, Y) \quad A(b X, b Y) \rightarrow A(X, Y) \quad A(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Intersect with $a^{*} b^{*} a^{*} b^{*}$, generated by a DFA with
$Q=F=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}$, initial state $q_{0}$ and
$\delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)=q_{0}, \delta\left(q_{0}, b\right)=q_{1}, \delta\left(q_{1}, b\right)=q_{1}, \delta\left(q_{1}, a\right)=q_{2}$,
$\delta\left(q_{2}, a\right)=q_{2}, \delta\left(q_{2}, b\right)=q_{3}, \delta\left(q_{3}, b\right)=q_{3}$.

Closure Properties: Intersection with regular lang. (2)

## Result:

$a^{*} S\left[q_{0}, q_{0}\right](X Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}\right](a X, a Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}, q_{0}\right](\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon$
$b^{+} S\left[q_{0}, q_{1}\right](X Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](b X, b Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](b X, b Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}\right](\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon$
$a^{+} b^{+} a^{+} b^{+} S\left[q_{0}, q_{3}\right](X Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}\right](a X, a Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right](a X, a Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right](b X, b Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{3}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{3}\right](b X, b Y) \rightarrow A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{3}\right](X, Y)$,
$A\left[q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{3}\right](\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon$
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## Closure Properties: Intersection with regular lang. (3)

Proposition 5 [Kallmeyer, 2010]
$L=\left\{\left(a^{m} b^{m}\right)^{n} \mid m, n \geq 1\right\}$ is not an MCFL.
Proof: We assume that there is a fixed $k$ such that there is a $k$-MCFG generating $L$.
We intersect $L$ with the regular language $\left(a^{+} b^{+}\right)^{k+1}$, which yields $L^{\prime}=\left\{\left(a^{m} b^{m}\right)^{k+1} \mid m \geq 1\right\}$. $L^{\prime}$ does not satisfy the pumping lemma for $k$-MCFL since the iterated parts in the pumping lemma must each consist of either $a$ s or $b$ (otherwise we would increase the number of substrings $a^{m}$ and $b^{m}$ when iterating). Furthermore, if we have at most $2 k$ iterated parts, the iterations necessarily lead to words where the $a^{m}$ and $b^{m}$ parts no longer have all the same exponent. Consequently, $L^{\prime}$ and therefore also $L$ are not $k$-MCFLs. Since this holds for any $k, L$ is not an MCFL.
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